

Comparing to the definition of measurable functions in Real Analysis note, we have the generaliz~~ed~~^{ed} definition.

Def If $(X, \mathcal{M}), (Y, \mathcal{N})$ are measurable spaces, a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ -measurable if $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}$ for $\forall E \in \mathcal{N}$

It is obvious that the composition of measurable maps is measurable, more precisely, if $f: (X, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{N})$, $g: (Y, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{L})$

$g \circ f$ is measurable.

Prop If $\mathcal{N} = \sigma(\mathcal{E})$, then $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is measurable iff $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M} \forall E \in \mathcal{E}$ for $\mathcal{E}$

proof: $\{f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is a σ -algebra. ^{that} contains $\mathcal{E}$

$$\Rightarrow \{f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}\} \supseteq \mathcal{N}$$

Cor If X, Y are topological spaces, then every continuous function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $(\mathcal{B}_X, \mathcal{B}_Y)$ measurable. □

Now, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ or $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is always understood as the σ -algebra on the range ~~space~~

So, the composition of two Lebesgue measurable functions may not be measurable. $f: (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$, $g: (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$

Like ~~product~~^{induced} topology, given a set X , $\{(Y_\alpha, \mathcal{M}_\alpha)\}$ is a family of measurable spaces, $f_\alpha: X \rightarrow Y_\alpha$. there is a unique σ -algebra on X ~~that~~ ^{smallest} which the f_α are all measurable, namely, the σ -algebra generated by $\{f_\alpha^{-1}(E) : E \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$. And as for product measure, we have $f_\alpha = \pi_\alpha$

Prop $(X, \mathcal{M}), (Y_\alpha, \mathcal{M}_\alpha) (\alpha \in A)$ are measurable spaces. $Y = \prod_{\alpha \in A} Y_\alpha$

$\mathcal{M} = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_\alpha$. $\pi_\alpha: Y \rightarrow Y_\alpha$. then $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is measurable iff $\pi_\alpha \circ f = f_\alpha$ is measurable

proof $(\Rightarrow)$ trivial

$$(\Leftarrow) f^{-1}(\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E)) = f_\alpha^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M} \text{ is measurable for } \forall E \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$$

$\Downarrow$ they generate $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_\alpha$. □

7. The following propositions are familiar enough.

[Cor] $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is measurable $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Re} f, \operatorname{Im} f$ are measurable

[Prop] $f, g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable, so are $f+g$ & $f \cdot g$.

[Prop] If $\{f_j\}$ is a sequence of $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ valued measurable functions on $(X, \mathcal{M})$

then $g_1(x) = \sup_j f_j(x)$ $g_3(x) = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x)$ are measurable.

$g_2(x) = \inf_j f_j(x)$ $g_4(x) = \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x)$

I forget re...

[Def] ~~$f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$~~ $E \in \mathcal{M}$ f is measurable if $f^{-1}(B) \cap E (B \in \mathcal{B})$

i.e. $f|_E$ is $\mathcal{M}_E$ -measurable where $\mathcal{M}_E = \{F \cap E : F \in \mathcal{M}\}$.

[Cor] $f, g: X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ are measurable then $\max(f, g)$ & $\min(f, g)$ are measurable

[Cor] --- $f^+(x) := \max(f(x), 0)$ $f^-(x) = \max(-f(x), 0)$

$\Rightarrow f = f^+ - f^-$

If $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have its polar decomposition

$$f = (\operatorname{sgn} f) \cdot |f| \quad \operatorname{sgn} z = \begin{cases} z/|z| & z \neq 0 \\ 0 & z = 0 \end{cases}$$

sgn is ccs except $\{0\}$

$\operatorname{sgn}^{-1}(U) = \text{open sets} \cup \{0\} \Rightarrow \operatorname{sgn}$ is Borel-measurable.

$\Rightarrow \frac{f}{|f|}, \operatorname{sgn} \cdot f$ are measurable.

[Def] A simple function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a finite linear combination of characteristic functions of measurable sets with complex coefficients (Not allow to assume)

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \chi_{E_j} \quad E_j = f^{-1}(z_j) \quad \operatorname{range}(f) = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$$

$\downarrow$

f 's stand representation

[Thm] $(X, \mathcal{M})$,

(1) $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable, there is a sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ of simple functions

s.t. $0 \leq \phi_1 \leq \dots \leq f$, $\phi_n \nearrow f$ pointwise and $\phi_n \nearrow f$ uniformly on any sets

where f is bounded

(2) $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq |\phi_1| \leq \dots \leq |f|$, $\phi_n \nearrow f$ pointwise, $\phi_n \Rightarrow f$ on any sets where $|f| \leftarrow$

Why do we consider completion of a measure? The discussion following will show an answer to it. 18.

[prop] The followings are valid iff μ is complete

• If f is measurable and $f = g$ μ -a.e., then g is measurable

• If f_n is measurable for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f_n \rightarrow f$ μ -a.e., then f is measurable

proof: "1) If μ is complete, $E = F_0 \cup N$, $N \in \mathcal{N}$

$$f^{-1}(F_0 \cup N) = f^{-1}(F_0) \cup f^{-1}(N) \text{ is measurable}$$

$g^{-1}(E)$ differs from $f^{-1}(E)$ from a subset of null-set $\Rightarrow g$ is measurable.

$A = \{f \neq g\}$ is a μ -null-set.

then for $\forall E \in \mathcal{N}$, $g^{-1}(E)$ differs from $f^{-1}(E)$ from a subset of A

i.e., a μ -null set $\Rightarrow g^{-1}(E)$ is measurable $\forall E \in \mathcal{N}$

Let $f = \chi_F$, $g = \chi_N$, $N \subseteq F$ μ -null $\Rightarrow N$ is measurable $\Rightarrow \mu$ is complete

for that F & N are arbitrary.

2) convergent point set: $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} \{ |f_k - f| \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \}$

$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=k}^{\infty} \{ |f_k - f| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \} = A$ is μ -null set

E denote the convergent point set. $f_n \rightarrow f \Rightarrow f$ is measurable on E

& E^c is μ -null set $\Rightarrow f$ is measurable

$$f^{-1}(S) = f^{-1}(S \cap E)$$

N denote a μ -null set $F \subseteq N$, $f_n = 0$, $f = \chi_F$

$f_n \rightarrow f$ on $N^c \Rightarrow f$ is measurable $\Rightarrow F$ is measurable

Remk. How to understand μ -a.e.

In wikipedia or Folland's book. a property P holds μ -a.e. if there exists a μ -null set N s.t. all $x \in X \setminus N$ have the property P .

So μ -a.e. doesn't mean the $\{x \in X, \neg P(x)\}$ has measure zero.

9. [prop] Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space and let $(X, \bar{\mathcal{M}}, \bar{\mu})$ be its completion. If f is a $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ -measurable function on X , there is an $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable function g such that $f=g$ $\bar{\mu}$ -a.e.

proof. For this type of questions, we first ~~start~~ start from Characteristic func.

If $f = \chi_E$ where E is $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ -measurable, we can write E as $E = E_1 \cup N_1$ where N_1 is a subset of μ -null set $\underline{N}$. Let $g = \chi_E$
 $\Rightarrow f=g$ in N^c . For general case, if f is $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ -measurable

Hence f is a $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ -measurable simple function

there exists a sequence of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ -measurable simple functions $\{\phi_n\}$ with

$\phi_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise. For each n , let ψ_n be an $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable function with $\phi_n = \psi_n$ except $E_n \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}$ with $\bar{\mu}(E_n) = 0$. ^{simple}
 Choose $N \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mu(N) = 0$ and $N \supseteq \bigcup E_n$

And $g = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{X \setminus N} \psi_n$. Then g is $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable and $g=f$ on $X \setminus N$. $\square$

Now, we start to define our integrals on $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ which is a fixed measure space. Firstly, clarify some notations.

L^+ = the space of all measurable functions from X to $[0, \infty]$

If ϕ a simple function in L^+ with standard representation $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \chi_{E_j}$

$$\int \phi d\mu := \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu(E_j) \quad (\text{may equal } \infty) \quad \text{With convention } 0 \cdot \infty = 0$$

$$\int_A \phi d\mu = \int_A \phi = \int_A \phi(x) d\mu(x) = \int \phi \chi_A d\mu \quad (A \in \mathcal{M}) \quad \int = \int_X$$

[prop] Let ϕ and ψ be simple functions in L^+

$$(a) \text{ If } c \geq 0 \quad \int c\phi = c \int \phi$$

$$(b) \int (\phi + \psi) = \int \phi + \int \psi$$

$$(c) \text{ If } \phi \leq \psi, \text{ then } \int \phi \leq \int \psi$$

$$(d) \text{ The map } A \mapsto \int_A \phi d\mu \text{ is a measure on } \mathcal{M}$$

proof. The first three properties require us to use the intersections to divide set into disjoint parts. For example $\int \phi = \sum_{j,k} a_j \mu(E_j \cap F_k) \leq \sum_{j,k} b_k \mu(E_j \cap F_k) = \int \phi \psi$

The last one is interesting to discuss.

All terms are positive

$$\text{Let } A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \text{ disjoint} : \int_A \phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_k a_j \mu(A \cap E_j) = \sum_j a_j \sum_k \mu(A_k \cap E_j) = \sum_k \int_{A_k} \phi d\mu \quad \square$$

We now extend the integral to functions in L^+ by defining

$$\int f d\mu = \sup \left\{ \int \phi d\mu : 0 \leq \phi \leq f, \phi \text{ is simple} \right\}$$

If f is simple, the two definitions of $\int f$ agree.

By the definition, it's not hard to see:

(a) $\int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu$ if $f \leq g$

(b) $c \int f d\mu = \int cf d\mu$ for $\forall c \in [0, \infty)$

Then, we aim to establish some ~~convergence~~ convergence Theorem.

[Rmk] The following results ~~that~~ that we will introduce immediately have been shown in my real analysis notes. But here I would prove them one more time as review.

[Thm] MCT If $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq L^+$, $f_j \leq f_{j+1}$ $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n (= \sup f_n)$ ~~is a function~~

then $\int f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n$

proof: It's obvious that $\int f \geq \int f_n$. It suffices to show the reverse.

Fix $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, let ϕ be a simple function with $0 \leq \phi \leq f$.

Let $E_n = \{f_n \geq \alpha \phi\}$. Then we shall see $\{E_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of measurable sets whose limit is the whole space X .

$$\Rightarrow \int f_n d\mu \geq \int_{E_n} f_n d\mu \geq \int_{E_n} \alpha \phi d\mu = \alpha \int_{E_n} \phi d\mu$$

By the continuity of measure, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu \geq \alpha \int \phi d\mu \text{ for } \forall \alpha \in (0, 1) \text{ and } 0 \leq \phi \leq f$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n \geq \int f$$

[Cor] $\{f_n\}$ is a finite or infinite sequence in L^+ and $f = \sum f_n$ then $\int f = \sum \int f_n$.

[prop] If $f \in L^+$, then $\int f = 0$ iff $f = 0$ μ -a.e.

proof: If $f = 0$ a.e. $\Rightarrow \forall 0 \leq \phi \leq f$, $\phi = 0$ a.e. $\int f = \sup \int \phi = 0$

On the other hand $\{f(x) > 0\} = \bigcup_n \{f > \frac{1}{n}\} \stackrel{E_n}{=} \{f > 0\}$. If $f \neq 0$ a.e. is false,

$$\exists n \mu(E_n) > 0 \Rightarrow \int f \geq \int_{E_n} f > \frac{1}{n} \mu(E_n) > 0 \quad \square$$

[Cor] $\{f_n\} \subseteq L^+$, $f \in L^+$, $f_n \nearrow f$ for a.e. x , then $\int f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n$

proof. $f_n \nearrow f$ on E where $\mu(E) = 0 \Rightarrow f - f_n \chi_E = 0$ a.e. $\xRightarrow{\text{MCT}} \int f - \int f_n \chi_E = 0$ a.e. $\square$

21.

Note that, there are many cases that the limit of integral not equals the integral of the limit, but in that case, there is an inequality that remains valid.

[Thm] (Fatou's lemma) If $\{f_n\}$ is any sequence in L^+ , then

$$\int \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n$$

proof. For each $k \geq 1$ $\inf_{n \geq k} f_n \leq f_j$ for $j \geq k$

$$\text{hence } \int \inf_{n \geq k} f_n \leq \int f_j \text{ for } j \geq k$$

hence $\int \inf_{n \geq k} f_n \leq \inf_{j \geq k} \int f_j$. Let $k \rightarrow \infty$, by MCT, we have

$$\int \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n \quad \square$$

[Cor] $\{f_n\} \subseteq L^+$, $f \in L^+$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e. $\Rightarrow \int f \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n$

[Prop] If $f \in L^+$, $\int f < \infty$, then $\{f(x) = \infty\}$ is μ -null set and $\{f(x) > 0\}$ is σ -finite.

proof. If $\{f = \infty\}$ is not a null set. $\mu(\{f = \infty\}) = a > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(\{f > N\}) \geq \mu(\{f = \infty\}) = a > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int f \geq \int_{\{f > N\}} f > Na \quad \text{let } N \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \int f = \infty \quad \text{?}$$

$$E_n = \{n \leq f \leq n+1\} \Rightarrow X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n \cup \{f = \infty\}$$

$$\text{Since } \int f < \infty, \int f \geq \int_{E_n} f \geq n \mu(E_n) \Rightarrow \mu(E_n) < \frac{1}{n}$$

$$E_n = \{f \geq \frac{1}{n}\} \quad \{f(x) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \int f \geq \int_{E_n} f \geq \frac{1}{n} \mu(E_n) \Rightarrow \mu(E_n) < \infty \quad \square$$

[X] 2.1.2.

lem. $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $Y = f(\mathbb{R})$, then f is measurable iff $f^{-1}(f^{-1}(y))$, $f^{-1}(\{y\}) \in \mathcal{M}$
 $| f \text{ is measurable on } \mathbb{R}$

proof of lemma. $(\Rightarrow)$ trivial

$(\Leftarrow)$ M.O.G. assume $E = A \cup \{\infty\}$, $A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \Rightarrow f^{-1}(E) = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(\{\infty\})$

$$\text{Back to the original problem. } (fg)^{-1}(\{y\}) = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{f > 0\} \cap \{g = \frac{1}{i}\} \right) \cup \left(\{f < 0\} \cap \{g = -\frac{1}{i}\} \right)$$

Similarly, $(fg)^{-1}(\{y\}) \in \mathcal{M}$.

... The proof that $f+g$ & fg are measurable has been forgotten...

$F(x) = (f(x), g(x))$, $\phi(z, w) = z + w$, $\psi(z, w) = zw$ are all measurable

$$\Rightarrow f+g = \phi \circ F, \quad fg = \psi \circ F \quad \dots \quad \square$$

[EX] 2.1.5 $\Leftrightarrow$ trivial

$\Leftrightarrow \forall E \in \mathcal{B}$. ~~Note~~ $X = f^{-1}$ $\in \mathcal{M}$
 $f^{-1}(E) = (f^{-1}(E) \cap A) \cup (f^{-1}(E) \cap B)$ is measurable $\Rightarrow f$ is measurable $\square$

We have defined integral in simple functions, L^+ , and we can extend it to real-valued measurable in an obvious way; namely, if f^+ & f^- are the positive $\mathbb{R}$ and negative parts of f and at least one of them is finite, (to avoid $\infty - \infty$), we define $\int f = \int f^+ - \int f^-$.

We shall ^{be} mainly concerned with the case with $\int f^+$ & $\int f^-$ are both finite

We then say that f is integrable. Since $|f| = f^+ + f^-$, it's clear that f is integrable iff $\int |f| < \infty$

[prop] The set of integrable real-valued functions on X is a real vector space and the integral is a linear functional on it.

proof. The only thing needs to notice is that

$$\begin{aligned} h = f + g &\Rightarrow h^+ + f^- + g^- = h^- + f^+ + g^+ \\ &\Rightarrow \int h^+ + \int f^- + \int g^- = \int h^- + \int f^+ + \int g^+ \\ &\Rightarrow \int h = \int f + \int g. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Generally, measurable functions are called integrable if $\int_E |f| < \infty$ on $E \in \mathcal{M}$.
 $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

Since $|f| \leq |\operatorname{Re} f| + |\operatorname{Im} f| \leq 2|f| \Rightarrow$ " f is integrable $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Re} f, \operatorname{Im} f$ are both integrable

$$\int f := \int \operatorname{Re} f + i \int \operatorname{Im} f.$$

[prop] [prop] If f is integrable $\Rightarrow |\int f| \leq \int |f|$.

[prop] 1) If f is integrable, $\{f(x) \neq 0\}$ is σ -finite

2) If f, g are integrable, then $\int_E f = \int_E g$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$ iff $\int |f-g| = 0$
 iff $f=g$ a.e.

proof. 1) Similar as before

2) " $\int |f-g| = 0 \Leftrightarrow f=g$ a.e." is from $\int f = 0 \Leftrightarrow f=0$ a.e., $f \in L^+$

$$\left| \int_E f - \int_E g \right| \leq \int \chi_E |f-g| \leq \int |f-g| = 0. \text{ If } \int |f-g| = 0$$

If $\int_E f = \int_E g \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{M}$. $\nRightarrow f=g$ a.e." then, WLOG, $\exists$ a positive

$$\text{Set } E = \{ \operatorname{Re}(f-g)^+ > 0 \} \Rightarrow \int_E f - g > 0 \text{ on } E \quad \square$$

[Rmk] Integration makes no difference by altering functions on a null set.

So we can treat $\mathbb{R}$ -valued functions that are a.e. finite as real-valued functions for the purposes of integration.

$L^1(\mu) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ (or } \mathbb{C}) : f \text{ is integrable}\} / \sim$ is a vector space.

$f \sim g$ iff $f = g$ a.e.

And although $L^1(\mu)$ is a set of equivalent classes of a.e. defined integrable functions on X , we shall still employ the notation " $f \in L^1(\mu)$ ".

Advantages: ① $L^1(\mu)$ is naturally ^{corresponded} ~~equivalent~~ to $L^1(\bar{\mu})$

(since f is $\bar{\mu}$ -measurable. $\exists g = f_{\text{a.e.}}$ and g is μ -measurable)

② $L^1(\mu)$ becomes a metric space.

[Thm] DCT. $\{f_n\} \subseteq L^1$ with 1) $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e. 2) $\exists g \in L^1$ s.t. $|f_n| \leq g$ a.e.

then $f \in L^1$ and $\int f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n$.

proof. f is measurable (maybe after redefining ^{if on} on a null set)

Since $|f| \leq g$ a.e. $\Rightarrow f \in L^1$

WLOG f_n & f are real-valued, $\begin{cases} g + f_n \geq 0 \text{ a.e.} \\ g - f_n \geq 0 \text{ a.e.} \end{cases}$

^{assume}
By Fatou's lemma

$$\int f + \int g \leq \liminf \int (f_n + g) = \int g + \liminf \int f_n$$

$$\int g - \int f \leq \liminf \int (g - f_n) = \int g - \limsup \int f_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \liminf \int f_n \geq \int f \geq \limsup \int f_n \quad \square$$

[Thm] $\{f_j\} \subseteq L^1$ s.t. $\sum_1^\infty \int |f_j| < \infty$. then $\sum_1^\infty f_j$ converge a.e. to a function in L^1 and $\int \sum_1^\infty f_j = \sum_1^\infty \int f_j$

proof By MCT. $\int \sum_1^\infty |f_j| = \int \sum_1^\infty |f_j|$ $g = \sum_1^\infty |f_j| \in L^1$

$\Rightarrow g$ is finite a.e. $\Rightarrow \sum_1^\infty f_j$ converges a.e.

By DCT. $\sum_1^\infty f_j \in L^1$

$\square$

[Thm] If $f \in L^1(\mu)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an integrable simple function $\phi = \sum a_j \chi_{E_j}$ s.t. $\int |f - \phi| d\mu < \varepsilon$ (integrable simple functions are dense in L^1 space in L^1 metric)

If μ is a Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure on $\mathbb{R}$, the sets E_j in the definition of ϕ can be taken to be finite unions of open intervals; moreover, there is a continuous function g that vanishes outside a bounded interval s.t. $\int |f - g| d\mu < \varepsilon$

proof. $\phi_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise by DCT. $\int |\phi_n - f| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

since $|\phi_n - f| \leq 2|f| \Rightarrow \exists \phi$ s.t. $\int |\phi - f| d\mu < \varepsilon$

If $\phi_n = \sum a_j \chi_{E_j}$ $\mu(E_j) = |a_j| \int_{E_j} 1 d\mu \leq |a_j| \int |f| d\mu < \infty$

$$\mu(\text{BAP}) = \int |\chi_E - \chi_F| d\mu$$

For $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R}, \mu)$, any measurable set of finite measure can be approximated arbitrarily closely by finite unions of open intervals.

All facts listed above lead to the desired result. $\square$

[Thm] Suppose that $f: X \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ $a < b$, $f(\cdot, t)$ is integrable for each $t \in [a, b]$, then let $F(t) = \int_X f(x, t) d\mu(x)$

a. suppose $\exists g \in L^1(\mu)$ s.t. $|f(x, t)| \leq g(x)$ for all x, t .

If $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} f(x, t) = f(x, t_0)$ for every x , then $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} F(t) = F(t_0)$

(In particular, if $f(x, t)$ is cts for each $x \Rightarrow F(t)$ is cts)

b. suppose that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \exists$, $g \in L^1(\mu)$ s.t. $|\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x, t)| \leq g(x)$ for all x, t .
 $\Rightarrow F$ is differentiable & $F'(t) = \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x, t) d\mu(x)$.

proof a. (By Heine Thm.) $\forall \{t_n\} \rightarrow t_0$ $\int f(x, t_n) d\mu \xrightarrow{\text{DCT}} \int f(x, t_0) d\mu$

b.
$$\frac{f(x, t_n) - f(x, t)}{t_n - t} = h_n(x) \quad |h_n(x)| \leq \frac{\text{mean value}}{t_n - t} \leq g(x)$$

$\downarrow$
 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x, t)$ (is measurable)

$$F'(t_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int h_n(x) d\mu \xrightarrow{\text{DCT}} \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} d\mu(x).$$

[Bmk] a. the continuity of integral
 b. the differentiability of integral.

25.

[Thm] (Generalized DCT) If $f_n, g_n, f, g \in L^1$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e. & $g_n \rightarrow g$ a.e.,
 $|f_n| \leq g_n$ and $\int g_n \rightarrow \int g$, then $\int f_n \rightarrow \int f$

proof. we have $\begin{cases} g_n + f_n \geq 0 \\ g_n - f_n \geq 0 \end{cases}$. By Fatou's lemma.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \int g + \liminf \int f_n &= \liminf \int g_n + f_n \geq \int g + f \\ \int g - \limsup \int f_n &= \liminf \int g_n - f_n \geq \int g - f \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \liminf \int f_n \geq \int f \geq \limsup \int f_n \quad \square$$

[Ex] 2.3.21 If $\int |f_n| \rightarrow \int |f| \Rightarrow \int (|f_n| + |f|) \rightarrow \int 2|f|$

$$|f_n - f| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e.} \quad |f_n - f| \leq |f_n| + |f|$$

$$\Rightarrow \int |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\text{If } \int |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0, \quad \left| \int |f_n| - \int |f| \right| \leq \int |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

Please remember the following four examples.

$$1. f_n = \frac{1}{n} \chi_{(0, n)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ uni} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{R} \text{ with Lebesgue measure.} \\ \text{not } L^1 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$2. f_n = \chi_{(n, n+1)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ pw} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{not } L^1 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$3. f_n = n \chi_{[0, 1/n]} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

$$4. f_n = \chi_{[\frac{j}{2^k}, \frac{j+1}{2^k}]} \quad n = 2^k + j, \quad 0 \leq j < 2^k \quad \text{not a.e. but } L^1$$

[Def] A sequence $\{f_n\}$ of measurable complex-valued functions on $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$

is Cauchy in measure if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mu(\{x : |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty$$

converge in measure to f if for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

$$\mu(\{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

[prop] If $f_n \xrightarrow{L^1} f$, then $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure ($f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f$).

proof. $\forall \varepsilon > 0$. $E_{n, \varepsilon} = \{|f_n - f| \geq \varepsilon\}$ measurable

$$\int |f_n - f| \geq \int_{E_{n, \varepsilon}} |f_n - f| \geq \varepsilon \mu(E_{n, \varepsilon}) \Rightarrow \mu(E_{n, \varepsilon}) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int |f_n - f|$$

$$\text{let } n \rightarrow \infty. \Rightarrow \mu(E_{n, \varepsilon}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \square$$

[Rmk] $f_n = \frac{1}{n} \chi_{(0, n)} \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$ but $f_n \not\xrightarrow{L^1} f = 0$.

Thm Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is Cauchy in measure. Then there is a measurable function f such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure, and there is a subsequence $\{f_{n_j}\}$ that converges to f a.e. Moreover, if also $f_n \xrightarrow{u} g$ then $g = f$ a.e.
 proof. To show the result more precisely, we introduce the following lem.

Lemma $f_n \xrightarrow{u} f$ iff for $\forall \epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\mu(\{ |f_n - f| \geq \epsilon \}) < \epsilon$ for $n > N$.

proof of lemma. $(\Rightarrow)$ since $\int |f_n - f|$ is an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$. $\mu(\{ |f_n - f| \geq \epsilon \}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we can lead to right immediately.

$(\Leftarrow)$ $\mu(\{ |f_n - f| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \}) < \frac{1}{2^k}$ $n > N(k)$
 $\vee$
 $\mu(\{ |f_n - f| \geq \epsilon \}) < \frac{1}{2^k}$ (let $k \rightarrow \infty$)
 $\Rightarrow \mu(\{ |f_n - f| \geq \epsilon \}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

choose a subsequence $\{g_j\} = \{f_{n_j}\}$ s.t. $E_j = \{ |g_j - g_{j+1}| \geq 2^{-j} \}$, $\mu(E_j) < 2^{-j}$.

If $F_k = \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} E_j$. then $\mu(F_k) \leq 2^{1-k}$. And if $x \notin F_k$ for $i \geq j \geq k$, we have

$$|g_j(x) - g_i(x)| \leq \sum_{l=j}^{i-1} |g_{l+1}(x) - g_l(x)| \leq 2^{1-j}$$

$\Rightarrow \{g_j\}$ is pointwise Cauchy on F_k^c . Let $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k = \limsup E_j$. It's not hard to see $\mu(F) = 0$. Set $f(x) = \begin{cases} \lim g_j(x) & x \in F^c \\ 0 & x \in F \end{cases}$ is measurable

$g_j \xrightarrow{a.e.} f$. Moreover, in $|g_j(x) - g_i(x)| \leq 2^{1-j}$ $i \geq j \geq k$ on $F_k^c \subseteq F_k^c$
 $\Rightarrow |g_j - f| \leq 2^{1-j}$ in F_k^c since $\mu(F_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$
 $\Rightarrow g_j \xrightarrow{u} f$.

By $\{ |f_n - f| \geq \epsilon \} \subseteq \{ |f - f_n| \geq \epsilon/2 \} \cup \{ |f_n - g| \geq \epsilon/2 \}$

Idea. Like Cauchy convergence principle in sequence, we hope to construct a subsequence of functions and prove that it is exactly the limit we want.

proof. like lemma $\forall k > 0$. $\exists N(k) > 0$. $\mu(\{ |f_m - f_n| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \}) < \frac{1}{2^k}$ when $m, n > N(k)$.
 So our strategy is that we always require $n > m$, $n > N(k+1)$, $m > N(k)$, that is to say, we've already taken a subsequence $\{g_j\} \subseteq \{f_n\}$ that

$$\mu(\{ |g_{j+1} - g_j| \geq \frac{1}{2^j} \}) < \frac{1}{2^j}$$

Let $E_j = \{ |g_{j+1} - g_j| \geq \frac{1}{2^j} \}$, $\mu(E_j) < \frac{1}{2^j}$.
 We shall have such intuition, that E_j 's are the bad and small error sets whose measure tends to zero.

2). Let $F_j = \bigcup_{k=j}^{\infty} E_k \Rightarrow \mu(F_j) \leq 2^{-j}$. Then, as what we have expected initially,

What happens on the outside of F_k ? $|g_j - g_{j+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2^j}$ $j \geq k$. What's more,

$$|g_i - g_j| \leq |g_i - g_{i+1}| + \dots + |g_{j+1} - g_j| \leq 2^{1-j} \quad i \geq j \geq k. \quad (*)$$

It's a Cauchy sequence! $\Rightarrow g_j \rightarrow f$ on F_k^c $j \geq k$

We want to obtain more convergent set as we can, on the other hand, take the union of them. $\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k^c = \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \right)^c \right)$ So, let $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k = \limsup E_j$.

It's obvious F has measure zero.

let $f(x) = \begin{cases} \lim_j g_j(x) & x \in F^c \\ 0 & x \in F \end{cases}$. So, $\left(g_j \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f \right)$ and f is measurable

~~$$|g_j - f| \leq \frac{1}{2^j}$$~~

Using (*). letting $i \rightarrow \infty$. $\Rightarrow |f - g_j| \leq 2^{1-j}$ $x \in F_k^c$ $j \geq k$.

$$\Rightarrow g_j \xrightarrow{\mu} f \quad (\text{Note that } g_j = f_{n_j})$$

$$\text{By } \{ |f_n - f| \geq \varepsilon \} \subseteq \underbrace{\{ |f_n - f_{n_j}| \geq \varepsilon/2 \}}_{\text{Cauchy}} \cup \underbrace{\{ |f_{n_j} - f| \geq \varepsilon/2 \}}_{\text{Converge.}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f \right]$$

$$\text{If } f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} g.$$

$$\{ |f - g| \geq \varepsilon \} \subseteq \underbrace{\{ |f - f_n| \geq \varepsilon/2 \}}_{\rightarrow 0} \cup \underbrace{\{ |f_n - g| \geq \varepsilon/2 \}}_{\rightarrow 0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu\{|f - g| \geq \varepsilon\} = 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0. \Rightarrow f = g \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \square$$

Cor $f_n \xrightarrow{L^1} f \Rightarrow \exists \{f_{n_j}\} \subseteq \{f_n\}$ s.t. $f_{n_j} \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f$.

Thm (Egoroff) Suppose $\mu(X) < \infty$, $f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots, f$ are measurable on X s.t. $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f$. complex-valued functions.

Then, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists E \subseteq X$, $\mu(E) < \varepsilon$ s.t. $f_n \rightarrow f$ on E^c (subspace topology)

Proof. For convenience, suppose $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise. NOTE that: $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{l=k}^{\infty} \{ |f_l - f| < \frac{1}{2^k} \} = X$

$$f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f: \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ |f_n - f| < \frac{1}{2^k} \} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=j}^{\infty} \{ |f_k - f| < \frac{1}{2^k} \} = X \Rightarrow \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=j}^{\infty} \{ |f_k - f| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \} = \emptyset$$

Since $\mu(X) < \infty$. By continuity of measure, let $E_j = \bigcup_{k=j}^{\infty} \{ |f_k - f| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \}$.
let $E_j(l) = \bigcup_{k=j}^{\infty} \{ |f_k - f| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \} \Rightarrow \exists n_l$ s.t. $E_{n_l}(l) \leq \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-l}$. let $E = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} E_{n_l}(l)$. $\mu(E) < \varepsilon$

Then, for $\forall x \in E^c = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{l=k}^{\infty} \{ |f_k - f| \leq \frac{1}{l} \}$

We have $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{l}$ for $n > n_l$. Thus $f_n \rightarrow f$ on E^c $\square$

[Rmk] This type of convergence mode is called almost uniform convergence.

[EX] 2.4.39. $f_n \xrightarrow{a.u.} f \Rightarrow f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f$ & $f_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} f$

proof: " $f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f : \mu(\{ |f_n - f| \geq \epsilon \}) < \epsilon$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $n > N(\epsilon)$ "

Since $f_n \xrightarrow{a.u.} f \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists E_\epsilon$ s.t. $\mu(E_\epsilon) < \epsilon, f_n \rightarrow f$ on E_ϵ^c

$$\Rightarrow \{ |f_n - f| \geq \epsilon \} \subseteq \{ |f_n - f| \geq \epsilon \} \cap E_\epsilon^c$$

$|f_n - f| < \epsilon$ on E_ϵ^c when $n > N(\epsilon)$

$$\Rightarrow \{ |f_n - f| \geq \epsilon \} \cap E_\epsilon^c \subseteq E_\epsilon \quad \dots$$

$$(2) \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, f_n \rightarrow f \text{ on } E_\epsilon^c \quad \mu(E_\epsilon) < \epsilon$$

$$\text{So } f_n \not\rightarrow f \text{ on } \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} E_\epsilon \Rightarrow \{ f_n \not\rightarrow f \} \subseteq \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} E_\epsilon \text{ is a null set. } \square$$

[EX] 2.4.44. (Lusin) If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Lebesgue measurable and $\epsilon > 0$, there is a compact set $E \subseteq [a, b]$ such that $\mu(E^c) < \epsilon$ and $f|_E$ is cts.

proof. Let $\{ \phi_n \}$ is a sequence of simple functions such that $|\phi_n| \leq \dots \leq |f|$

$\phi_n \rightarrow f$. for each ϕ_n , we can change its value on a small set E_n with $\mu(E_n) = \frac{\epsilon}{4^n}$ on $[a, b] \setminus E_n$. We have $\tilde{\phi}_n = \phi_n$ and get $\tilde{\phi}_n$

So $\tilde{\phi}_n \rightarrow f$ on $[a, b] \setminus \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right)$. Using Egoroff Thm, $\exists F \subseteq [a, b] \setminus \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right)$

(F can be chosen as closed set) $\tilde{\phi}_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} f$ on $F \Rightarrow f$ is cts on F

$$\mu(E) \leq \mu([a, b] \setminus \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right) \setminus F) < \epsilon/2 \Rightarrow \mu(E^c) < \epsilon \quad \square$$

[Rmk] In Egoroff, we can choose the error set to be an open set (outer regularity of measurable set)

We don't assume too much of the background measure space. But for Lusin's Thm, we shall consider $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and E should be a compact set (it can be extended to closed set if $[a, b] = \mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{C}$) ...

29. Now, we start to discuss about product measure. Recall that, product σ -product is generated by $\{\pi_\alpha(E_\alpha) \mid E_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$, that in a certain sense, is a canonical construction.

Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ & $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$ be two measure space.

Since this part has been written in Real Analysis note. Just rewrite the result below.

[Def] $E_X = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in E\}$. $E^Y = \{x \in X, (x, y) \in E\}$

$$f_X(y) = f^Y(x) = f(x, y)$$

[Pmk] $(A \times B)_x = \begin{cases} B & x \in A \\ \emptyset & x \notin A \end{cases}$ $(\bigcup E_j)_x = \bigcup (E_j)_x$ $(\bigcap E_j)_x = \bigcap (E_j)_x$
 $(E^c)_x = (E_x)^c$

[Prop] $E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow E_X \in \mathcal{N}$. $E^Y \in \mathcal{M}$

f is $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ -measurable $\Rightarrow f_X$ is measurable, f^Y is $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable.

[Def] $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is called monotone class if $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under countable increasing unions and countable decreasing intersections.

[Thm] (Monotone class lemma) An algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ coincide.

[Thm] Suppose $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ & $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$ are σ -finite measure spaces.

If $E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$, then $x \mapsto \nu(E_x)$ & $y \mapsto \mu(E^y)$ are measurable on X and Y and

$$\mu \times \nu(E) = \int \nu(E_x) d\mu(x) = \int \mu(E^y) d\nu(y)$$

[Thm] (Fubini & Tonelli Thm) $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ & $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$ are σ -finite measure spaces.

(a) If $f \in L^+(X \times Y) \Rightarrow g(x) = \int f_x d\nu$, $h(y) = \int f^y d\mu$ in $L^+(X)$ & $L^+(Y)$

$$\int f d(\mu \times \nu) = \int \left[\int f(x, y) d\nu(y) \right] d\mu(x) \quad (*)$$

$$= \int \left[\int f(x, y) d\mu(x) \right] d\nu(y)$$

(b) If $f \in L^1(X \times Y) \Rightarrow f_x \in L^1(\nu)$ for a.e. x & $g(x) \in L^1(\mu)$
 $f_y \in L^1(\mu)$ for a.e. y $h(y) \in L^1(\nu)$

(*) holds.

[Pmk] the condition of σ -finite can't be removed;

even if μ, ν are complex, $\mu \times \nu$ can be usually ~~not~~ complex.

If we hope to obtain "complete version" of Fubini - Tonelli Theorem, we can consider $\mathcal{L} := \overline{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}}$. $\lambda := \overline{\mu \times \nu}$.

[Thm] (Fubini - Tonelli Theorem) Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ & $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$ be complete, σ -finite measure space, and let $(X \times Y, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ be the completion of $(X \times Y, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}, \mu \times \nu)$. If f is $\mathcal{L}$ -measurable, and either belongs to $L^1(\lambda)$ or $L^1(\lambda)$, then f_x & f_y is $\mathcal{M}$ - (μ) -measurable for a.e. $x(y)$. Moreover, $x \mapsto \int f_x d\nu$ & $y \mapsto \int f_y d\mu$ is measurable. (and in case $L^1(\lambda)$, also integrable). Moreover, following result holds both

$$\int f d\lambda = \iint f(x,y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) = \iint f(x,y) d\nu(y) d\mu(x)$$

(omit ~~blank~~ brackets)

proof [lem 1] If $E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ and $\mu \times \nu(E) = 0$, then $\nu(E_x) = \mu(E^y) = 0$ for a.e. x, y

proof of lem 1. $0 = \mu \times \nu(E) = \int \nu(E_x) d\mu(x) = \int \mu(E^y) d\nu(y)$

[lem 2] If f is $\mathcal{L}$ -measurable and $f = 0$ λ -a.e. then f_x & f_y are measurable integrable for a.e. x, y , & $\int f_x d\nu = \int f_y d\mu = 0$ for a.e. x & y

proof of lem 2. ~~$f = 0$ λ -a.e. $\Rightarrow \exists g$ is $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ -measurable $f = g$ λ -a.e.~~

~~$$\Rightarrow \iint g_x d\nu d\mu = \iint g_y d\mu d\nu = 0$$~~

~~$$\Rightarrow \int g_x d\nu = \int g_y d\mu = 0 \text{ for a.e. } x, y.$$~~

 ~~$E_0 = \{f(x,y) \neq 0\}$. there exists a set E that contains E_0 and lies in $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$. with $\mu \times \nu(E) = 0$~~

~~$$\Rightarrow \int |f_x| d\nu(y) = \int \chi_{E_x} |f_x| d\nu(y) = 0 \quad (0 \cdot \infty = 0)$$~~

by the definition of completion, $E = F \cup Z$ with $F \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ $Z \in \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ $\mu \times \nu(N) = 0$
 $E_x = F_x \cup Z_x \subseteq F_x \cup N_x$ since $\mu(N_x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \Rightarrow \mu(Z_x) = 0$ for a.e. x

$$(E = \{f \neq 0\}) \Rightarrow \mu(E_x) = \mu(E^y) = 0 \text{ for a.e. } x, y$$

$\Rightarrow f_x = 0$ on $E_x^c \Rightarrow f_x$ is $\mathcal{N}$ -measurable (non-zero on a null set) by completeness

$$\Rightarrow \int f_x d\nu = 0 \text{ for a.e. } x. \dots$$

31. Now, back to the original problem.

$$f \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \exists g \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \text{ s.t. } f = g \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

$$\Rightarrow f - g = 0 \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_x - g_x = 0 \lambda\text{-a.e.} \quad f^y - g^y = 0 \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

If f, g is $L^1(\mathcal{N})$, so are $f_x - g_x, f^y - g^y$.

$$\Rightarrow f_x \text{ is } \mathcal{M}\text{-measurable} \quad f^y \text{ ---}$$

$$\int f_x = \int g_x \quad \text{for a.e. } x \quad f^y \text{ ---}$$

$$\Rightarrow \iint f_x dv du = \iint g_x dv du = \int g d\lambda = \int f d\lambda. \quad \square$$

Now, we shall introduce two small topics and end up the chapter.

1°. n -dim Lebesgue integral. $(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$\mathcal{L}^n$ is the completion of $\mathcal{L} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}$.

and it's not surprise that it shares similar, even the same properties of Borel ~~Sierpinski~~ measure, ~~that~~ in other words, outer regularity, inner-regularity and if $m(E) < \infty$, E can be approximated by finite cubes.

So, with the techniques above, $f \in L^1(m)$ can be L^1 approximated by continuous functions with compact support.

And the most important theorem ~~one~~ is the following one.

[Thm] Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set. $G: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a C^1 diffeomorphism

If f is a Lebesgue measurable function on $G(\Omega)$, then $f \circ G$ is Lebesgue measurable on Ω . If $f \geq 0$ or $f \in L^1(G(\Omega), m)$, then

$$\int_{G(\Omega)} f(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} f \circ G(x) |\det D_x G| dx.$$

2° Integration in polar coordinates.

32.

The construction process is kind of boring though confirming geometric intuition. And the result is great and worth remembering.

[Thm] There is a unique Borel measure $\sigma = \sigma_{n-1}$ on S^{n-1} such that $m_n = \rho \times \sigma$. If f is Borel measurable on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $f \geq 0$ or $f \in L^1(m)$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{n-1}} f(r x') r^{n-1} d\sigma(x') dr \quad \text{where } x' \in S^{n-1}$$

$\rho = \rho_n$ on $(0, \infty)$ by $\rho(E) = \int_E r^{n-1} dr$

[Cor] If f is a measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $f \geq 0$ or $f \in L^1$ s.t. $f(x) = g(|x|)$ for some g on $(0, \infty)$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx = \sigma(S^{n-1}) \int_0^\infty g(r) r^{n-1} dr.$$

[Example] $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-a|x|^2) dx = I_n$

By Tonelli's thm. $I_n = (I_1)^n$ $I_1 = I_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $I_n = \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{n/2}$

$$I_2 = 2\pi \int_0^\infty r e^{-ar^2} dr = \frac{\pi}{a}$$

$$2^\circ. \sigma(S^{n-1}) = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)}$$

$$\pi^{n/2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-|x|^2) dx = \sigma(S^{n-1}) \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} e^{-r^2} dr$$

$$= \frac{\sigma(S^{n-1})}{2} \int_0^\infty s^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \cdot e^{-s} ds = \frac{\sigma(S^{n-1})}{2} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$$

□

A graph of different convergence modes

